CD-CTTI-31-SGRH/2024 - Doctor Paulo Jorge dos Santos Gonçalves Ferreira, Full Professor and Rector at the University of Aveiro, hereby announces that for a period of **thirty** business days starting on the first business day following after which this notice is published in the "jornal Público", an international public competition is opened, for the recruitment of 1 (one) position as **Assistant Professor** in the subject area of **Education**, subarea of **Didactics and Educational Technology**, specific area of **Educational Technology**, under an employment contract for an indefinite period under the Portuguese Labour Code and the regulation on the careers of teaching staff in private law at the University of Aveiro and related hiring (regulation 384/2014, of 26 August).

1 – Admission requirements:

1.1 — Applicants to the Competition must be holders of a PhD degree in the area or area related to it for which the competition is open.

1.2 — Applicants with qualifications obtained abroad must provide proof of recognition, equivalence or registration of a PhD degree, by a Portuguese higher education institution, in accordance with the applicable legislation. This formality must be fulfilled by the date of expiry of the application deadline.

2 — Application requirements:

2.1 — The Applications must be accompanied by the following documents:

a) Application minute for the competition addressed to the Rector of the University of Aveiro;

b) Detailed *curriculum vitae* containing all of the pertinent information for the assessment of the applicant considering the items of evaluation of point 4 of the present notice, recommending that it be organized according to the assessment criteria detailed in point 5;

c) Copies of papers selected by the applicant as being most representative of their curriculum vitae, no more than five;

d) Presentation of a single document containing the justification of the papers selected in the previous paragraph;

e) Report on the performance in scientific, educational and other activities considered relevant to the mission of the higher education institutions, focusing in particular on the papers that he has selected as being more representative;

f) Scientific-pedagogical project: Document which makes it possible to sustain a future charter of mission that must include a proposal of activities that the candidate intends to develop during the first five years of your activity as an Assistant Professor, explaining how you can contribute to the progress and development of the specific area to its open the competition in the scientific and pedagogical aspects of cooperation with the society;

g) Document that objectively highlights the number of citations (if applicable) of publications listed in the curriculum and explanation of the method used to count the citations with enough detail so that the jury is able to reproduce the procedure in accordance with section 5;

h) Any other elements that the applicant deems relevant or the assessment of his or her merits.

2.2 — The application minute must be fully completed, dated and signed, of mandatory use, available at https://www.ua.pt/file/75846.

2.3 — The *curriculum vitae* should contain:

a) Full name of the candidate;

b) Document proving the position, group or subject, service time as staff member and the name of the University or Polytechnic Institution to which the applicant belongs, whenever applicable;

c) Specialization pertaining to the area in which the Competition was opened;

d) Copy of the certificate with the respective classification or other document that is legally recognized for the same effect. Applicants who hold a doctor's degree obtained abroad, must provide proof of recognition, equivalence or registration of a PhD degree, by a Portuguese higher education institution, in accordance with the applicable legislation.

e) Documents proving all of the elements mentioned in subsections b), c) and d) of point 2.3.

2.4 — The applicants belonging to the University of Aveiro are exempt from the presentation of the documents referred to in points b), c) and d) of point 2.3, which appear in their individual file.

2.5 — How to present the application:

2.5.1 — The application may be presented in Portuguese or in English and handed in via email to the Human Resource Department of the University of Aveiro (sgrh-avct-recrutamento@ua.pt), by the deadline stipulated in this Notice. The Services will issue a message proving the electronic validation of the same.

2.5.2 — Instructions for the submission of applications, in digital format, are available in the area of Recruitment and Job area Human Resources at teaching staff new competitions and offers - sgrh - Universidade de Aveiro (ua.pt).

2.5.3 — When formalizing the application, if a classified document is submitted that reveals a commercial or industrial secret; a secret relative to the literary, artistic, or scientific property; or that requires confidentiality, the candidate must identify the document with this information, under penalty of the work in question being unrestrictedly accessed by any of the other candidates, when consulting the file.

2.6 — Non-compliance with the deadline for submission of the application, as well as failure to submit or late submission of the documents, referred in points 2.1, a) to g), will lead to the disqualification of the application. Candidates will be notified of the exclusion decision by e-mail, for the purposes of the hearing of interested parties, in accordance with Articles 121 et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Code.

2.7 —The jury may, whenever they see fit, request that the candidates' hand in additional documents with regards to the *curriculum vitae* and set a deadline for the effect.

2.8 — The jury will may, whenever it deems it necessary, hold public hearings of the admitted candidates.

2.8.1 — The jury will deliberate at the first meeting on the need to hold public hearings of all successful candidates on absolute merit and which are exclusively intended to better clarify what is contained in the application pursuant to Article 50(4)(b) of the ECDU.

2.8.2 — If there is a need to hold public hearings, they will take place before the second meeting of the jury, and all candidates will be summoned, at least 5 days in advance, of the date and place where these public hearings will take place.

2.8.3 — The public hearings referred to in the previous point may be held by teleconference.

2.8.4 — In the event that the selection board decides on the holding of public hearings, as enshrined in point 2.8.2, candidates who unjustifiably do not attend the hearings are not subject to evaluation and are excluded.

3 – Competition Jury:

3.1 — The Competition jury consists of the following:

President: Doctor Paulo Jorge dos Santos Gonçalves Ferreira, Rector of the University of Aveiro.

Jury members:

- Doctor António José Meneses Osório, Associate Professor with Aggregation of Universidade do Minho;
- Doctor José António Marques Moreira, Associate Professor with Aggregation of Universidade Aberta;
- Doctor Lina Maria Gaspar Morgado, Associate Professor of Universidade Aberta;
- Doctor Maria Helena Almeida Beirão de Araújo e Sá, Full Professor of Universidade de Aveiro;
- Doctor Isabel Maria Cabrita dos Reis Pires Pereira, Associate Professor of Universidade de Aveiro.

3.2 — The deliberations are taken by grounded roll-call vote, by the absolute majority of the votes of the jury members present at the meeting, with no abstentions allowed.

4 — Admission and exclusion of applications:

4.1 - Approval on absolute merit:

The admission of candidates is conditioned to their approval on absolute merit, based on the merit of the candidates' overall curriculum in the specific area of the competition, also taking into account the fulfillment of the requirement in point 4.1.1 and, cumulatively, the fulfillment of at least one of the following requirements set out in points 4.1.2, 4.1.3, 4.1.4.:

4.1.1. Hold a certified professional qualification for teaching, in Portugal, in Pre-School Education and/or in Basic Education and/or in Secondary Education;

and one of the following three:

4.1.2. Be the author or co-author of at least 10 articles or book chapters in the subject area for which the tender procedure is open and subject to peer review, with at least 3 being indexed in the ISI Web of Science i) Science Citation Index Expanded as document type = article or document type = review; ii) or SCOPUS SCI Verse with the same characteristics; iii) and or in SCIELO; iv) and or in QUALIS / Capes (classification A1 to B2);

or

4.1.3. Be an author, co-author or editor of at least 3 books (excluding author editions) or magazines, with peer review, in the subject area for which the tender procedure is open.

or

4.1.4. Have participated in at least 3 funded scientific projects obtained in competitive competitions and or funded interinstitutional programs.

4.2 — Candidates must prove that they meet the above requirements (4.1.1 to 4.1.4), indicating, in cases where it applies, the key to be used in the search for Web of Science from Clarivate Analytics or SCI Verse of Scopus that proves it, or including in their CV listing of the same database that confirms it. Point 4.1.4 must be duly proven and documented.

4.3— Methods and items for assessment:

4.3.1 — The method of selection is the assessment of the curriculum which aims to assess the applicant's scientific performance, pedagogic skills and performance in other activities relevant to the mission of higher education institutions.

4.3.2 — In the assessment of the curriculum the following items are mandatorily considered and pondered in accordance with the requirements of the functions corresponding to the position to be filled with the present Competition:

a) The scientific performance of the applicant in the specific area that the Competition is open;

b) The pedagogic capacity of the applicant in the subject area that the Competition is open;

c) Other relevant activities;

d) Scientific-pedagogical project.

4.3.3 —In assessing the items referred to in 4.3.2 a), b) and c), the extent of the curriculum of the candidates should be taken into account and the activity developed in the last five 5 (five) years and will represent 80% of the assessment in each of these areas.

5 — Assessment criteria

In applying the evaluation strands referred to in the previous point, the following criteria are evaluated and assigned the weighting factors indicated:

5.1 — Criteria for assessing Scientific Performance.

5.1.1 — Scientific production: Quality and quantity of the scientific production in the specific area that the competition is open, with preference for the defined specific area (books, magazine articles, and congress communications), expressed by the number and type of publications, and by the recognition given to it by the scientific community (translated into the quality of Publication and in the references made to them by other authors).

5.1.2 — Participation in scientific projects: Quality and quantity of scientific projects in which the applicant has participated in the specific area for which the competition is open, funded on a competitive basis by public funds, through national or international agencies or financed by companies. In the evaluation of the quality must take into account the obtained financing, the degree of demand of the competition, the evaluations of the projects carried out and the prototypes made, in particular if they have been sequenced in products or services.

5.1.3 — Intervention in scientific community: Assessing the capacity to intervene in scientific community, particularly by organizing events, being (co-)editor of magazines, participation in the assessment of projects and articles, being invited to give speeches, as well as participation in academic juries and consulting activities and the recognition obtained through the awarding of prizes or other distinctions and their impact.

5.1.4 — Constitution of research teams and orientation of academic work of post-doctoral and doctoral students.

5.2 — Criteria for evaluation of Pedagogical Ability.

5.2.1 — Coordination of pedagogic projects: Conception, development and direction of study programs or curricular units, proven by the institutions where the pedagogical activity took place.

5.2.2 — Teaching activity: Total number of teaching hours and quality of teaching activity performed by the applicant, proven by the institutions where the pedagogical activity took place, using, whenever possible, objective methods based on extensive collections of opinion (educational surveys).

5.2.3 — Orientation of students in final bachelor's and master's dissertations and reports.

5.3 — Criteria for evaluation of the Other Relevant Activities.

5.3.1 — Training activities shall be considered and or professional activity (courses, workshops, seminars), the participation in scientific, pedagogical or institutional management activities and the participation in outreach activities, transfer of knowledge or technology, contributing to the Mission of the University.

5.3.2. — Analysis of the scientific performance report, educational and other activities considered relevant to the Mission of higher education institutions.

5.4 — Criteria for evaluation of the Scientific-academic project.

5.4.1 — Analysis of Scientific-academic project: Consider the clarity and quality of the exhibition, the actuality of the content and other complementary elements considered, with special attention to the potential contribution to the educational and scientific development of the specific area to which is opened the contest.

5.5 — The weight of each aspect and criteria are indicated in the following table:

Table – Weight of each aspect and assessment criteria

Items	Weight	Criteria	Weight
Scientific Performance	P1=0,6	Scientific Production (C11)	P11=0,3
		Participation in scientific projects (C12)	P12=0,3
		Intervention in the scientific community (C13)	P13=0,3
		Constitution of research teams and orientation of academic work of post-doctoral and doctoral students (C14)	P14=0,1
Pedagogic Capacity	P2=0,2	Coordination of pedagogic projects (C21)	P21=0,4
		Teaching activity (C22)	P22=0,4
		Orientation of students in final bachelor's and master's dissertations and reports (C23)	P23=0,2
Other Relevant Activities	P3=0,1	Knowledge transfer, or industrial activities, and dissemination or science management activities (C31)	P31=0,5
		Report on scientific and pedagogical performance (C32)	P32=0,5
Scientific-Academic Project	P4=0,1	Scientific-academic project (C41)	P41=1,0

6 — Assessment and selection:

6.1 — After the admission of the application to the Competition, the jury will begin the processes of analysis of the applications, taking into account the items and criteria set out in this notice.

6.2 — In the first meeting, which may take place by teleconference as decided by the jury president and after examination and admission of applications, the jury begins to decide which applicants pass to the next phase on absolute merit. To this purpose, each member of the jury proposes, which applications do not meet the requirements at both a scientific and pedagogic level as established by the Notice for the present Competition. After all jury members have submitted their proposals to the President of the jury, the jury votes on each application, for which rejection is proposed, and no abstentions are allowed; an application is rejected on absolute merit if obtains a majority of votes favourable to the rejection among the members of the jury present at the meeting. Each application will be voted only once. Other proposals, which eliminate the same application will not be voted on. The final decision on each proposal, as well as the number of votes collected by each of them, and their bases, are an integral part of the minutes.

6.3 — In the case of an application not receiving absolute merit approval, the jury proceeds to holding a hearing the excluded applicants, who may contest within 10 days.

6.4 — The jury then assesses those candidates approved according to absolute merit, considering the criteria and parameters of assessment as well as the ranking factors in this notice.

6.4.1 — Each member of the jury evaluates, on a scale of 0 to 100, each criterion or aspect, for each candidate.

6.4.2 — The final score is expressed on a numerical scale from 0 to 100 and is calculated by weighting each criterion with the corresponding weight, leading to the strand score, which in turn will be used with the corresponding weight for the final score calculation. Expressed as a formula, the final score (FR) is calculated as follows:

FR=P1*(C11*P11+C12*P12+C13*P13+C14*P14)+P2*(C21*P21+C22*P22+C23*P23)+P3*(C31*P31+C32*P32)+P4*(C41*P41)

7 — Voting methodology and ordering:

7.1 — The ordering of candidates should be founded on the assessment made based on strands and criteria of assessment and corresponding weighing factors listed in this notice.

7.2 — Before voting, each member of the jury presents a written document, that will be attached to the minutes of the meeting, with the order of the applicants, duly founded, taking into consideration the previous paragraph.

7.3 — On the various votes, each jury member should respect the order presented, no abstentions allowed.

7.4 — The jury will use the following voting method in order to obtain an absolute majority in the final ranking of candidates:

The first vote is intended to determine the applicant to be put in first place. If an applicant receives more than half the votes of the members of the jury present at the meeting, he will be placed in first place. If the applicant in first place is not determined, the voting will be repeated, but only among the candidates who received votes to be considered for first place after removal of the applicant least voted in the first round. If there is more than one applicant in the position of least voted there will be another vote with only these applicants in order to determine who is to be eliminated. For this vote, the jury members vote for the applicant who has the lowest ranking, the applicant with the most votes are eliminated. If at this point there continues to be a tie among two or more applicants, the president of the jury will decide which of them is to be eliminated. Once this elimination is made, the jury returns to the first vote, but only with the remaining candidates. The process is repeated until one applicant receives more than half the votes for first place. The process is repeated for second place and so on until an ordered list of all candidates is complete.

8 — Participation of interested parties and the decision:

8.1 — The applicants are notified of the final ranking in order to start the hearings of the interested parties conducted under the terms of the Administrative Procedure Code, applying the adaptations outlined in article 25 of the Regulation.

8.2 — Once the interested parties have had their hearings, the jury assesses their allegations and approves the final ranking of the applicants.

9 — Deadline for final decision:

9.1 — Notwithstanding the following paragraph, the deadline for delivering the jury's final decision cannot surpass ninety consecutive days, starting from the deadline for submission of applications.

9.2 — The deadline mentioned in the previous subsection may be extended when there is a high number of applicants and or when the particular complexity of the Competition justifies it.

10 — The teacher will be hired for an indefinite period with an experimental period of five years to carry out the functions described in the letter of mission and inherent in the category of Assistant Professor.

11 — The present competition is exclusively destined to fill the position indicated and can be finished until the homologation of the final ranking list of candidates and expiring with the respective occupation of the job on offer.

12 — Publication of Competition notice
In addition to being publish in a national newspaper, the present notice is also published in:
a) The website of the Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P., in Portuguese and English;
b) The website of the University of Aveiro, in Portuguese and English.

13 — In compliance with paragraph h) of Article 9 of the Constitution of the Portuguese Republic, the Government, as the employing entity, actively promotes a policy of equal opportunities between men and women in access to employment and professional advancement, scrupulously taking measures to avoid any form of discrimination.

06 February 2024 — The Rector, *Professor Doutor Paulo Jorge dos Santos Gonçalves Ferreira*.